

CS 361, Lecture 6

Jared Saia
University of New Mexico

Sum

```
//PRE:  
//POST: res is the sum of the elements in arrIn  
Sum(int arrIn[], int n)  
  
    int sum = arrIn[0];  
  
    for (int i=1;i<n;i++){  
        sum += arrIn[i];  
    }  
    return sum;  
}
```

3

Today's Outline

- Tons 'o Loop Invariants
- MaxSeq Algorithm
- Sorting?

1

Sum Invariant

Invariant: At the start of the i -th iteration of the for loop, sum is the summation of $arr[0]$ through $arr[i-1]$

- **Initialization:** When $i=1$, $sum = arr[0]$, which establishes the invariant
- **Maintenance:** Assume at the start of the i -th iteration that sum is the summation of $arr[0]$ through $arr[i-1]$. Then at start of the $i+1$ iteration, sum is the summation of $arr[0]$ through $arr[i-1]$ plus $arr[i]$. Thus, sum is the summation of $arr[0]$ through $arr[i]$.
- **Termination:** When the loop terminates, sum is the summation of $arr[0]$ through $arr[n-1]$, which is the sum of the entire array.

4

Loop Invariants

- **Initialization:** Invariant is true before first iteration of loop
- **Maintenance:** If invariant is true before iteration i , it is also true before iteration $i + 1$ (for any i)
- **Termination:** When the loop terminates, the invariant gives a property which can be used to show the algorithm is correct

2

Reverse

```
//PRE: n is the size of arrIn  
//POST: arrRes is the reverse of arrIn  
  
Reverse(int arrIn[], int n)  
    int arrRes[] = new int[n]  
  
    for (int i=0;i<n;i++){  
        arrRes[i] = arrIn[(n-1)-i];  
    }  
    return arrRes;  
}
```

5

Reverse Invariant

Loop Invariant: At the start of the i -th iteration of the for loop, for all $0 \leq j < i$, $arrRes[j] = arrIn[(n-1)-j]$

- **Initialization:** When $i=0$, there is no j such that $0 \leq j < i$, so the invariant is trivially true
- **Maintenance:** (Assume at the start of the i -th iteration of the for loop, for all $0 \leq j < i$, $arrRes[j] = arrIn[(n-1)-j]$. Show that at the start of the $i+1$ iteration of the for loop, for all $0 \leq j < i+1$, $arrRes[j] = arrIn[(n-1)-j]$). At the end of the $i+1$ iteration, we know that $arrRes[i+1] = arrIn[(n-1)-(i+1)]$. This fact, along with the assumption that the invariant holds at the start of the i -th iteration implies that for all $0 \leq j < i+1$, $arrRes[j] = arrIn[(n-1)-j]$)
- **Termination:** When the loop terminates, we know that: for all $0 \leq i < n$, $arrRes[i] = arrIn[(n-1)-i]$. This implies that $arrRes$ is the reverse of $arrIn$.

6

MaxSeq

- Proofs of correctness can be very challenging
- Question from before: Design an algorithm to return the largest sum of contiguous integers in an array of ints
- Example: if the input is $(-10, 2, 3, -2, 0, 5, -15)$, the largest sum is 8, which we get from $(2, 3, -2, 0, 5)$.

9

Max

```
//PRE: n is the size of arrIn
//POST: res is the max element in arrIn
Max(int arrIn[], int n)
    int max = arrRes[0]

    for (int i=1; i<n; i++){
        if (arrIn[i]>max)
            max = arrIn[i];
    }
    return max;
}
```

7

Our Last Algorithm

```
MaxSeq2 (int arr[], int n)
    int max = 0;
    for (int i = 1; i<=n; i++)
        int sum = 0;
        for (int j=i; j<=n; j++)
            sum += arr[j];
            if (sum > max)
                max = sum; //and store i and j if desired
    return max;
```

takes $O(n^2)$ time.

10

In Class Exercise

Prove that the algorithm Max is correct.

- Give a good loop invariant
- Show the Initialization, Maintenance and Termination conditions for that loop invariant.

8

A New Algorithm

```
MaxSeq3 (int arr[], int n){

    int arrLeft[] = new int[n];
    arrLeft[0] = arr[0];
    for (int i=1; i<n; i++){
        arrLeft[i] = max (arr[i], arrLeft[i-1] + arr[i]);
    }

    int arrRight[] = new int[n];
    arrRight[n-1] = arr[n-1];
    for (int i=n-2; i>=0; i--){
        arrRight[i] = max (arr[i], arrRight[i+1] + arr[i]);
    }

    ;;now compute the maximum subsequence using
    ;;arrLeft and arrRight
```

11

Loop1 Invariant

```
int arrMax[] = new int[n];
arrMax[0] = arrRight[0];
arrMax[n-1] = arrLeft[n-1];
for (int i=1;i<n-1;i++){
    int sum = arrLeft[i] + arrRight[i] - arr[i];
    arrMax[i] = sum;
}
return the maximum element in the array arrMax or 0,
    whichever is larger;
}
```

- **Loop 1 Invariant:** At the start of the i -th iteration, for all $0 \leq j < i$, $arrLeft[j]$ gives the largest value of any subsequence whose rightmost term is $arr[j]$.
- **Initialization:** When $i = 1$, $arrLeft[0] = arr[0]$, which is the largest value of any subsequence whose rightmost term is $arr[0]$.
- **Maintenance:** Assume the invariant is true before iteration i . This means $arrLeft[i-1]$ gives the value of the largest subsequence whose rightmost term is $arrLeft[i-1]$. Note that at the end of the iteration, $arrLeft[i] = \max(arr[i], arrLeft[i-1] + arr[i])$. Further note that there exists a subsequence, l_i which terminates at $arr[i]$ and obtains this value. It's either the subsequence consisting of just $arr[i]$, or the subsequence with term $arr[i]$ concatenated with the subsequence associated with the value $arrLeft[i-1]$. Now consider some arbitrary subsequence, l_i which has rightmost term $arr[i]$. Let $v(l_i)$ be the value of this subsequence.

14

Example

arr	-10	2	3	-2	0	5	-15
arrLeft	-10	2	5	3	3	8	-7
arrRight	-2	8	6	3	5	5	-15
arrMax	-2	8	8	8	8	8	-7

To show $arrLeft[i]$ is indeed the maximal value, we need only show that that $v(l_i) \leq arrLeft[i]$. There are two cases.

Case 1 is that l_i includes only the term $arr[i]$. In this case, $v(l_i) \leq arr[i] \leq arrLeft[i]$.

Case 2 is that l_i extends left beyond $arr[i]$. Let l_{i-1} be the part of l_i that does not contain $arr[i]$. Then $v(l_i) = v(l_{i-1}) + arr[i]$. But $v(l_{i-1}) \leq arrLeft[i-1]$, by the inductive hypothesis. Thus $v(l_i) \leq v(arrLeft[i-1]) + arr[i] \leq arrLeft[i]$.

Hence the value $arrLeft[i]$ does in fact give the largest value of any subsequence whose rightmost term is $arr[i]$, so by the inductive hypothesis, the loop invariant holds after iteration i .

- **Termination:** When the loop terminates, for all values of $0 \leq j < n$, $arrLeft[j]$ gives the largest value of any subsequence whose rightmost term is $arr[j]$.

12

MaxSeq3

- What is the run time of this algorithm?
- Is it correct?

Loop2 Invariant

- **Loop 2 Invariant:** At the start of the i -th iteration, $arrRight[j]$ gives the value of the largest subsequence whose leftmost term is $arr[j]$, for all $n > j > i$.
- **Initialization, Maintenance, and Termination** proofs are similar to Loop 1 invariant
- Good at home exercise to see if you can prove these facts for loop2

13

15

- **Loop 3 Invariant:** At the start of the i -th iteration, for all $j < i$, $arrMax[j]$ gives the value of the best subsequence which includes value $arr[j]$.
- We can assume the termination conditions of the loop1 and loop2 invariants hold during loop3.
- **Initialization:** When $i = 1$, $arrMax[0] = arrRight[0]$. We've shown that $arrRight[0]$ is the best value of any subsequence whose leftmost value is $arr[0]$. Any subsequence containing $arr[0]$ will have $arr[0]$ as the leftmost element. Hence $arrMax[0]$ is in fact the value of the best subsequence containing $arr[0]$.
- **Maintenance:** Assume the invariant is true before iteration i . Note that at the end of the iteration, $arrMax[i] = arrLeft[i] + arrRight[i] - arr[i]$.
We first note that there exists a subsequence s_i^* which achieves this value $arrMax[i]$. It's just the subsequence consisting

of the subsequence which achieves the value $arrLeft[i]$ concatenated with the subsequence which achieves the value $arrRight[i]$.

Now consider some arbitrary subsequence, s_i , which contains $arr[i]$. To show $arrMax[i]$ is indeed the maximal value, we need only show that $v(s_i) \leq arrMax[i]$. Let l_i be the subsequence of s_i which includes $arr[i]$ and all elems to the left of $arr[i]$. Similarly, let r_i be the subsequence of s_i which includes $arr[i]$ and all elems to the right of $arr[i]$. Note that

- $v(l_i) \leq arrLeft[i]$
- $v(r_i) \leq arrRight[i]$

Hence $v(s_i) = v(l_i) + v(r_i) - arr[i] \leq arrLeft[i] + arrRight[i] - arr[i] = arrMax[i]$. And so $arrMax[i]$ does in fact give the value of the best subsequence which includes value $arr[i]$. Thus, the loop invariant remains true at the beginning of iteration $i + 1$.

- **Termination:** When the loop terminates, for all $1 < j < n - 1$, $arrMax[j]$ gives the value of the best subsequence which includes value $arr[j]$. We further note that $arrMax[n-1]$ gives the value of the best subsequence containing $arr[n-1]$, since $arrMax[n-1] = arrLeft[n-1]$, and any subsequence containing $arr[n-1]$ will have $arr[n-1]$ as the rightmost element. The best subsequence in the array arr must contain some element in the array or be the empty subsequence. If it's not the empty subsequence, the value of it is stored somewhere in $arrMax$. Thus the return value of $MaxSeq3$ is the value of the best possible subsequence.

- We needed 3 loop invariants for $MaxSeq3$
- $MaxSeq3$ was much harder to show correct, but it runs *much* faster than our other algorithms
- I don't expect you to be able to do the entire proof for $MaxSeq3$, especially not from scratch
- However, you should be able to understand and do something similar to the individual loop invariant proofs
- Also, you should be able to understand the entire proof!

- The Problem: we want to sort an array, A , of integers in non-decreasing order
- E.g. if A is 3, 2, 2, 1, 5 at the start, we want it to be 1, 2, 2, 3, 5 at the end
- Sorting is a very common programming problem!
- Last time, we analyzed the Insertion-Sort Algorithm

Insertion-Sort (A , int n)

```
for (j=1; j<n; j++){
    key = A[j];
    //Insert A[j] into the sorted sequence A[0,...,j-1],
    //in the location such that it is as large as all elems
    // to the left of it
    i = j-1
    while (i>=0 and A[i] > key){
        A[i+1] = A[i]
        i--
    }
    A[i+1] = key
}
```

Analysis

- Best case run time of Insertion Sort is $O(n)$ (if the array is already sorted)
- However, we proved last time that the run time of Insertion Sort is $\Theta(n^2)$ in the worst case
- Q: Can we do better than this?
- A: Yes, we can use a recursive algorithm called Merge Sort

20

Merge

```
//PRE: arrLeft and arrRight are in sorted order
//POST: arrRes contains the elems of arrLeft and arrRight
//      in sorted order
Merge(int arrLeft[], int arrRight[])
    iLeft = iRight = 0;
    int arrRes[] = new int[arrLeft.size()+ arrRight.size()];
    for (int i=0;i<arrRes.size();i++){
        if (iRight == arrRight.size () ||
            (iLeft<arrLeft.size()
             && arrLeft[iLeft]<=arrRight[iRight])){
            arrRes[i] = arrLeft[iLeft];
            iLeft++;
        }else{
            arrRes[i] = arrRight[iRight];
            iRight++;}
    }
    return arrRes;
}
```

23

Merge Sort

High Level Idea:

- Split the array into two parts of the same size, A_1 and A_2
- Recursively sort A_1 and A_2
- Merge A_1 and A_2 together into one big sorted array

21

Merge Example

```
arrLeft  1  4  5
arrRight  2  3  6
arrRes   1  2  3  4  5  6
```

24

Merge Sort

```
//POST: res[]
Merge-Sort (int A[])
    int arrRes[] = A;
    if (A.size() > 1){
        //set m to be the 'middle' of the array
        m = floor (A.size()/2);
        int arrLeft[] = A[0,..,m]
        int arrRight[] = A[m+1,..,A.size()]
        arrLeft = Merge-Sort (arrLeft);
        arrRight = Merge-Sort (arrRight);
        arrRes = Merge (arrLeft,arrRight);
    }
    return arrRes;
}
```

22

Todo

- Read Chapter 4 (Recurrences) in text

25