

## CS 362, Lecture 10

Jared Saia  
University of New Mexico

- Midterm will be in-class on October 5th
- It will cover everything up to and including amortized analysis
- You can bring 2 pages of “cheat sheets” to use during the exam. You can also bring a calculator. Otherwise the exam is closed book and closed note.
- Note that the web page contains links to prior classes and their midterms. *Many of the questions on my midterm will be similar in flavor to these past midterms!*

2

## Today's Outline

- Midterm
- Fractional Knapsack Wrapup
- Amortized Analysis

1

## Proof

- Assume the objects are sorted in order of cost per pound. Let  $v_i$  be the value for item  $i$  and let  $w_i$  be its weight.
- Let  $x_i$  be the *fraction* of object  $i$  selected by greedy and let  $V$  be the total value obtained by greedy
- Consider some arbitrary solution,  $B$ , and let  $x'_i$  be the fraction of object  $i$  taken in  $B$  and let  $V'$  be the total profit obtained by  $B$
- We want to show that  $V' \leq V$  or that  $V - V' \geq 0$

3

## Proof

- Let  $k$  be the smallest index with  $x_k < 1$
- Note that for  $i \leq k$ ,  $x_i = 1$  and for  $i > k$ ,  $x_i = 0$
- You will show that for all  $i$ ,

$$(x_i - x'_i) \frac{v_i}{w_i} \geq (x_i - x'_i) \frac{v_k}{w_k}$$

4

## Proof

$$V - V' = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i v_i - \sum_{i=1}^n x'_i v_i \quad (1)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - x'_i) * v_i \quad (2)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - x'_i) * w_i \left( \frac{v_i}{w_i} \right) \quad (3)$$

$$\geq \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - x'_i) * w_i \left( \frac{v_k}{w_k} \right) \quad (4)$$

$$\geq \left( \frac{v_k}{w_k} \right) * \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - x'_i) * w_i \quad (5)$$

$$\geq 0 \quad (6)$$

5

## Proof

- Note that the last step follows because  $\frac{v_k}{w_k}$  is positive and because:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - x'_i) * w_i = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i w_i - \sum_{i=1}^n x'_i * w_i \quad (7)$$

$$= W - W' \quad (8)$$

$$\geq 0. \quad (9)$$

- Where  $W$  is the total weight taken by greedy and  $W'$  is the total weight for the strategy  $B$
- We know that  $W \geq W'$

6

## In-Class Exercise

Consider the inequality:

$$(x_i - x'_i) \frac{v_i}{w_i} \geq (x_i - x'_i) \frac{v_k}{w_k}$$

- Q1: Show this inequality is true for  $i < k$
- Q2: Show it's true for  $i = k$
- Q3: Show it's true for  $i > k$

7

## Q1

$$(x_i - x'_i) \frac{v_i}{w_i} \geq (x_i - x'_i) \frac{v_k}{w_k}$$

- Q1: Show that the inequality is true for  $i < k$
- For  $i < k$ ,  $(x_i - x'_i) \geq 0$
- If  $(x_i - x'_i) = 0$ , trivially true. Otherwise, can divide both sides of the inequality by  $x_i - x'_i$  to get

$$\frac{v_i}{w_i} \geq \frac{v_k}{w_k}.$$

- This is true since the items are sorted by profit per weight

8

## Q2

$$(x_i - x'_i) \frac{v_i}{w_i} \geq (x_i - x'_i) \frac{v_k}{w_k}$$

- Q2: Show that the inequality is true for  $i = k$
- When  $i = k$ , we have

$$(x_k - x'_k) \frac{v_k}{w_k} \geq (x_k - x'_k) \frac{v_k}{w_k}$$

- Which is true since the left side equals the right side

9

## Q3

$$(x_i - x'_i) \frac{v_i}{w_i} \geq (x_i - x'_i) \frac{v_k}{w_k}$$

- Q3: Show that the inequality is true for  $i > k$
- For  $i < k$ ,  $(x_i - x'_i) \leq 0$
- If  $(x_i - x'_i) = 0$ , trivially true. Otherwise can divide both sides of the inequality by  $x_i - x'_i$  to get

$$\frac{v_i}{w_i} \leq \frac{v_k}{w_k}.$$

- This is obviously true since the items are sorted by profit per weight
- *Note that the direction of the inequality changed when we divided by  $(x_i - x'_i)$ , since it is negative*

10

## Amortized Analysis

*"I will gladly pay you Tuesday for a hamburger today" - Wellington Wimpy*

- In amortized analysis, time required to perform a sequence of data structure operations is averaged over all the operations performed
- Typically used to show that the average cost of an operation is small for a sequence of operations, even though a single operation can cost a lot

11

## Amortized analysis

Amortized analysis is *not* average case analysis.

- *Average Case Analysis*: the expected cost of each operation
- *Amortized analysis*: the average cost of each operation *in the worst case*
- Probability is not involved in amortized analysis

12

## Types of Amortized Analysis

- *Aggregate Analysis*
- *Accounting or Taxation Method*
- *Potential method*
- We'll see each method used for 1) a stack with the additional operation MULTIPOP and 2) a binary counter

13

## Aggregate Analysis

- We get an upperbound  $T(n)$  on the total cost of a sequence of  $n$  operations. The average cost per operation is then  $T(n)/n$ , which is also the amortized cost per operation

14

## Stack with Multipop

- Recall that a standard stack has the operations PUSH and POP
- Each of these operations runs in  $O(1)$  time, so let's say the cost of each is 1
- Now for a stack  $S$  and number  $k$ , let's add the operation MULTIPOP which removes the top  $k$  objects on the stack
- Multipop just calls Pop either  $k$  times or until the stack is empty

15

## Multipop

- Q: What is the running time of  $\text{Multipop}(S,k)$  on a stack of  $s$  objects?
- A: The cost is  $\min(s,k)$  pop operations
- If there are  $n$  stack operations, in the worst case, a single Multipop can take  $O(n)$  time

16

## Multipop Analysis

- Let's analyze a sequence of  $n$  push, pop, and multipop operations on an initially empty stack
- The worst case cost of a multipop operation is  $O(n)$  since the stack size is at most  $n$ , so the worst case time for any operation is  $O(n)$
- Hence a sequence of  $n$  operations costs  $O(n^2)$

17

## The Problem

- This analysis is technically correct, but overly pessimistic
- While some of the multipop operations can take  $O(n)$  time, not all of them can
- We need some way to average over the entire sequence of  $n$  operations

18

## Aggregate Analysis

- In fact, the total cost of  $n$  operations on an initially empty stack is  $O(n)$
- Why? Because each object can be popped at most once for each time that it is pushed
- Hence the number of times POP (including calls within Multipop) can be called on a nonempty stack is at most the number of Push operations which is  $O(n)$

19

## Aggregate Analysis

- Hence for any value of  $n$ , any sequence of  $n$  Push, Pop, and Multipop operations on an initially empty stack takes  $O(n)$  time
- The average cost of an operation is thus  $O(n)/n = O(1)$
- Thus all stack operations have an *amortized* cost of  $O(1)$

20

## Another Example

Another example where we can use aggregate analysis:

- Consider the problem of creating a  $k$  bit binary counter that counts upward from 0
- We use an array  $A[0..k-1]$  of bits as the counter
- A binary number  $x$  that is stored in  $A$  has its lowest-order bit in  $A[0]$  and highest order bit in  $A[k-1]$  ( $x = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} A[i] * 2^i$ )

21

## Binary Counter

- Initially  $x = 0$  so  $A[i] = 0$  for all  $i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$
- To add 1 to the counter, we use a simple procedure which scans the bits from right to left, zeroing out 1's until it finally find a zero bit which it flips to a 1

22

## Increment

```
Increment(A){
    i = 0;
    while(i < k && A[i] = 1){
        A[i] = 0;
        i++;
    }
    if (i < k)
        A[i] = 1;
}
```

23

## Analysis

- It's not hard to see that in the worst case, the increment procedure takes time  $\Theta(k)$
- Thus a sequence of  $n$  increments takes time  $O(nk)$  in the worst case
- Note that again this bound is correct but overly pessimistic - not all bits flip each time increment is called!

24

## Aggregate Analysis

- In fact, we can show that a sequence of  $n$  calls to Increment has a worst case time of  $O(n)$
- $A[0]$  flips every time Increment is called,  $A[1]$  flips over every other time,  $A[2]$  flips over every fourth time, . . .
- Thus if there are  $n$  calls to increment,  $A[0]$  flips  $n$  times,  $A[1]$  flips  $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$  times,  $A[2]$  flips  $\lfloor n/4 \rfloor$  times

25

## Aggregate Analysis

- In general, for  $i = 0, \dots, \lfloor \log n \rfloor$ , bit  $A[i]$  flips  $\lfloor n/2^i \rfloor$  times in a sequence of  $n$  calls to Increment on an initially zero counter
- For  $i > \lfloor \log n \rfloor$ , bit  $A[i]$  never flips
- Total number of flips in the sequence of  $n$  calls is thus

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \log n \rfloor} \lfloor \frac{n}{2^i} \rfloor < n \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} \quad (10)$$

$$= 2n \quad (11)$$

26

## Aggregate Analysis

- Thus the worst-case time for a sequence of  $n$  Increment operations on an initially empty counter is  $O(n)$
- The average cost of each operation in the worst case then is  $O(n)/n = O(1)$

27

## Accounting or Taxation Method

- The second method is called the accounting method in the book, but a better name might be the *taxation* method
- Suppose it costs us a dollar to do a Push or Pop
- We can then measure the run time of our algorithm in dollars (Time is money!)

28

## Taxation Method

- Like any good government (ha ha) we need to make sure that: 1) our taxes are low and 2) we can use our taxes to pay for all our costs
- We already know that our taxes for  $n$  operations are no more than  $2n$  dollars
- We now want to show that we can use the 2 dollars we collect for each push to pay for all the push, pop and multipop operations

30

## Taxation Method for Multipop

- Instead of paying for each Push and Pop operation when they occur, let's tax the pushes to pay for the pops
- I.e. we tax the push operation 2 dollars, and the pop and multipop operations 0 dollars
- Then each time we do a push, we spend one dollar of the tax to pay for the push and then save the other dollar of the tax to pay for the inevitable pop or multipop of that item
- Note that if we do  $n$  operations, the total amount of taxes we collect is then  $2n$

29

## Taxation Method

- This is easy to show. When we do a push, we use 1 dollar of the tax to pay for the push and then store the extra dollar with the item that was just pushed on the stack
- Then all items on the stack will have one dollar stored with them
- Whenever we do a Pop, we can use the dollar stored with the item popped to pay for the cost of that Pop
- Moreover, whenever we do a Multipop, for each item that we pop off in the Multipop, we can use the dollar stored with that item to pay for the cost of popping that item

31

## Taxation Method

- We've shown that we can use the 2 tax on each item pushed to pay for the cost of all pops, pushes and multipops.
- Moreover we know that this taxation scheme collects at most  $2n$  dollars in taxes over  $n$  stack operations
- Hence we've shown that the amortized cost per operation is  $O(1)$

32

## Taxation Method for Binary Counter

- Let's now use the taxation method to show that the amortized cost of the Increment algorithm is  $O(1)$
- Let's say that it costs us 1 dollar to flip a bit
- What is a good taxation scheme to ensure that we can pay for the costs of all flips but that we keep taxes low?

33

## Taxation Scheme

- Let's tax the algorithm 2 dollars to set a bit to 1
- Now we need to show that: 1) this scheme has low total taxes and 2) we will collect enough taxes to pay for all of the bit flips
- Showing overall taxes are low is easy: Each time Increment is called, it sets at most one bit to a 1
- So we collect exactly 2 dollars in taxes each time increment is called
- Thus over  $n$  calls to Increment, we collect  $2n$  dollars in taxes

34

## Taxation Scheme

- We now need to show that our taxation scheme has enough money to pay for the costs of all operations
- This is easy: Each time we set a bit to a 1, we collect 2 dollars in tax. We use one dollar to pay for the cost of setting the bit to a 1, then we *store* the extra dollar on that bit
- When the bit gets flipped back from a 1 to a 0, we use the dollar already on that bit to pay for the cost of the flip!

35

## Binary Counter

- We've shown that we can use the 2 tax each time a bit is set to a 1 to pay for all operations which flip a bit
- Moreover we know that this taxation scheme collects  $2n$  dollars in taxes over  $n$  calls to Increment
- Hence we've shown that the amortized cost per call to Increment is  $O(1)$

36

## In Class Exercise

- A sequence of Pushes and Pops is performed on a stack whose size never exceeds  $k$
- After every  $k$  operations, a copy of the entire stack is made for backup purposes
- Show that the cost of  $n$  stack operations, including copying the stack, is  $O(n)$

38

## In Class Exercise

- A sequence of Pushes and Pops is performed on a stack whose size never exceeds  $k$
- After every  $k$  operations, a copy of the entire stack is made for backup purposes
- Show that the cost of  $n$  stack operations, including copying the stack, is  $O(n)$

37

## In Class Exercise

- Q1: What is your taxation scheme?
- Q2: What is the maximum amount of taxes this scheme collects over  $n$  operations?
- Q3: Show that your taxation scheme can pay for the costs of all operations

39